Response to Final Office Action mailed December 19, 2006 Page 2 of 13

## IN THE CLAIMS:

1. (Previously Amended) A method for increasing accuracy of optical fiber system measurements including calculation of a true mean differential group delay  $\langle \tau \rangle$  of at least one length of optical fiber comprising the steps of:

measuring a mean square differential group delay  $\langle \tau^2 \rangle_B$  averaged over a finite bandwidth B of a source using a polarization mode dispersion measurement apparatus;

calculating an approximation of the true mean differential group delay  $\langle \tau \rangle$  in accordance with  $\sqrt{\frac{8}{3\pi} {\langle \tau^2 \rangle}_s}$ ; and

applying a systematic correction factor  $\epsilon$  to said approximation in accordance with

 $\sqrt{\frac{8}{3\pi}\langle \tau^2 \rangle_s} + e$  to calculate  $\langle \tau \rangle$ , the application of  $\epsilon$  minimizing a systematic error caused by the finite bandwidth B of the source, where  $\tau$  is in units of seconds, and B in units of radians/second.

- 2. (Cancelled).
- 3. (Previously Amended) The method of Claim 1, wherein the finite bandwidth B is much greater than the inverse of a root mean square differential group delay  $\sqrt{\langle \tau^2 \rangle_g}$ :

$$B >> \frac{1}{\sqrt{\left\langle \tau^2 \right\rangle_B}}.$$

further wherein  $\epsilon$  is defined by the following equation:

$$\varepsilon = \frac{8}{9\sqrt{2}} \frac{1}{B} \tag{16b}.$$

Response to Final Office Action mailed December 19, 2006

Page 3 of 13

4. (Original) The method of Claim 1, wherein the polarization mode dispersion measurement apparatus used to measure the mean square differential group delay  $\langle \tau^2 \rangle_B$  comprises a time-domain measurement apparatus.

- (Original) The method of Claim 4, wherein the time-domain measurement apparatus is an interferometric device.
- 6. (Original) The method of Claim 1, wherein the polarization mode dispersion measurement apparatus used to measure the mean square differential group delay  $\left\langle \tau^2 \right\rangle_{\mathcal{B}}$  comprises a frequency-domain measurement apparatus.
- 7. (Original) The method of Claim 6, wherein the frequency-domain measurement apparatus is a polarimeter.
- 8. (Previously Amended) The method of Claim 7, further comprising the step of applying one of a Jones Matrix Eigenanalysis, Poincaré Sphere Analysis, and Müller Matrix Method to calculate the true mean differential group delay  $\langle \tau \rangle$ .
- 9. (Original) The method of Claim 1, wherein the at least one length of optical fiber is an optical fiber link in an optical telecommunication network.
- 10. (Original) The method of Claim 1, wherein the at least one length of fiber is an optical fiber route in an optical telecommunication network.
- 11. (Withdrawn) A method for measuring a mean differential group delay  $\langle \tau \rangle$  of at least one length of optical fiber, comprising the steps of:

characterizing a polarization mode dispersion vector as a function of frequency using a frequency-domain polarization mode dispersion measurement apparatus;

Applicants: Boroditsky, et al. Application Serial No.: 10/747,804 Filing Date: December 29, 2003

Docket No.: 1209-49 (2003-0089)

Response to Final Office Action mailed December 19, 2006

Page 4 of 13

calculating a second-order polarization mode dispersion vector  $\vec{\tau}_w$  as a function of frequency by calculating a derivative with respect to frequency of the polarization mode dispersion vector;

calculating a mean of a square root of a magnitude of the second-order polarization mode dispersion vector  $\vec{r}_w$  to obtain a first result, according to  $\langle |\vec{r}_w|^{\frac{1}{2}} \rangle$ , wherein  $|\vec{r}_w|$  represents the magnitude of the second-order polarization mode dispersion vector; and

multiplying a proportionality coefficient  $A_2$  of the second-order polarization mode dispersion vector  $\vec{\tau}_{\omega}$  by the first result to calculate the mean differential group delay  $\langle \tau \rangle$  in accordance with the following equation:

$$A_2 \left\langle \left| \tilde{\tau}_w \right|^{1/2} \right\rangle = \left\langle \tau \right\rangle, \tag{21}$$

where  $\tau$  and  $\langle \tau \rangle$  are in units of second<sup>2</sup>,  $|\tau_{\omega}|$  is in units of second,  $\omega$  is in units of radian/second, and  $A_2$  is dimensionless.

- 12. (Withdrawn) The method of Claim 11, wherein  $A_2$  is substantially equal to 1.37.
- 13. (Withdrawn) The method of Claim 11, wherein the frequency-domain polarization mode dispersion measurement apparatus is one of a polarimetric device and a Fixed Analyzer device.
- 14. (Withdrawn) The method of Claim 11, wherein the at least one length of fiber is a single fiber link.
- 15. (Withdrawn) The method of Claim 11, wherein the at least one length of fiber is a fiber route.

Response to Final Office Action mailed December 19, 2006

Page 5 of 13

16. (Withdrawn) A method for measuring a mean differential group delay  $\langle \tau \rangle$  of at least one length of fiber, comprising the steps of:

measuring a magnitude of a polarization mode dispersion vector  $|\tau_w|$  as a function of frequency, using a frequency-domain polarization mode dispersion measurement apparatus, the magnitude of the polarization mode dispersion vector  $|\tau_w|$  being a scalar differential group delay;

calculating a frequency derivative of the scalar differential group delay from the magnitude of the polarization mode dispersion vector, the frequency derivative of the scalar differential group delay  $\frac{d|\vec{r}|}{d\omega}$  being a scalar second-order polarization mode dispersion function;

calculating a first result, according to  $\left\langle \frac{\left|d\left|\vec{\tau}\right|}{\left|d\omega\right|}\right|^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\rangle$ , where  $\left|\tau\right|$  is in units of second and  $\omega$  is a frequency in units of radian/second; and

multiplying a proportionality coefficient  $B_2$  by the first result to calculate the mean differential group delay, in accordance with the following equation:

$$B_{2}\left\langle \left| \frac{d|\vec{\tau}|}{d\omega} \right|^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\rangle = \left\langle \tau \right\rangle, \tag{26}$$

where  $B_2$  is dimensionless,  $\tau$  and  $\langle \tau \rangle$  are in units of second,  $\omega$  is in units of radian/second, and  $\frac{d|\vec{\tau}|}{d\omega}$  is in units of second<sup>2</sup>.

17. (Withdrawn) The method of Claim 16, wherein  $B_2$  is substantially equal to 2.64.

Response to Final Office Action mailed December 19, 2006

Page 6 of 13

- 18. (Withdrawn) The method of Claim 16, wherein the frequency-domain polarization mode dispersion measurement apparatus comprises one of a polarimetric device and a Fixed Analyzer device.
- 19. (Withdrawn) The method of Claim 16, wherein the at least one length of optical fiber is a single optical fiber link.
- 20. (Withdrawn) The method of Claim 16, wherein the at least one length of optical fiber is an optical fiber route.
- 21. (Withdrawn) A method for measuring a mean square differential group delay  $\tau^2_{RMS}$  of at least one length of optical fiber, comprising the steps of:

measuring a polarization mode dispersion vector as a function of frequency, using a frequency-domain polarization mode dispersion measurement apparatus;

calculating a second-order polarization mode dispersion vector  $\vec{\tau}_w$  as a function of frequency by calculating a derivative of the polarization mode dispersion vector with respect to frequency  $\omega$ ;

calculating the mean of the magnitude of the second-order polarization mode dispersion vector  $|\vec{r}_{\omega}|$  to obtain a first result, according to  $\langle |\vec{r}_{\omega}| \rangle$ ; and

multiplying a proportionality coefficient  $A_I$  by the first result to calculate the mean square differential group delay, in accordance with the following equation:

$$A_{1}\langle |\hat{\tau}_{o}| \rangle = \tau_{RMS}^{2}, \tag{20}$$

where  $A_I$  is dimensionless,  $|\vec{\tau}_{\omega}|$  is in units of second<sup>2</sup> and  $\tau^2_{\rm BMS}$  is in units of second<sup>2</sup>.

Response to Final Office Action mailed December 19, 2006 Page 7 of 13

- 22. (Withdrawn) The method of Claim 21, wherein  $A_i$  is substantially equal to 2.02.
- 23. (Withdrawn) The method of Claim 21, wherein the frequency-domain polarization mode dispersion measurement apparatus comprises one of a polarimetric device and a Fixed Analyzer device.
- 24. (Withdrawn) The method of Claim 21, wherein the at least one length of optical fiber is a single optical fiber link.
- 25. (Withdrawn) The method of Claim 21, wherein the at least one length of optical fiber is an optical fiber route.
- 26. (Withdrawn) A method for measuring a mean square differential group delay  $\tau^2_{RMS}$  of at least one length of optical fiber, comprising the steps of:

measuring a magnitude of a polarization mode dispersion vector as a function of frequency using a frequency-domain polarization mode dispersion measurement apparatus, the magnitude of the polarization mode dispersion vector being a scalar differential group delay;

calculating a frequency derivative of the scalar differential group delay from the magnitude of the polarization mode dispersion vector, the frequency derivative of the scalar differential group delay  $\frac{d|\vec{\tau}|}{d\omega}$  being a scalar second-order polarization mode dispersion function;

calculating a first result, according to 
$$\left\langle \left| \frac{d |\vec{r}|}{d \omega} \right| \right\rangle$$
; and

multiplying a proportionality coefficient  $B_I$  by the first result to calculate the mean square differential group delay, in accordance with the following equation:

Response to Final Office Action mailed December 19, 2006

Page 8 of 13

$$B\left\langle \left| \frac{d|\vec{\tau}|}{d\omega} \right| \right\rangle = \tau_{\text{max}}^2, \tag{25}$$

where  $B_1$  is dimensionless, and  $\frac{d|\vec{r}|}{d\omega}$  is in units of second<sup>2</sup>.

- 27. (Withdrawn) The method of Claim 26, wherein  $B_l$  is substantially equal to 6.80.
- 28. (Withdrawn) The method of Claim 26, wherein the frequency-domain polarization mode dispersion measurement apparatus comprises one of a polarimetric device and a Fixed Analyzer device.
- 29. (Withdrawn) The method of Claim 26, wherein the at least one length of optical fiber is a single optical fiber link.
- 30. (Withdrawn) The method of Claim 26, wherein the at least one length of optical fiber is an optical fiber route.
- 31. (Withdrawn) A method for measuring a mean polarization mode dispersion of at least one length of optical fiber, using a source of bandwidth *B*, comprising the steps of:

collecting polarization mode dispersion data as a function of frequency from a frequency-domain polarization mode dispersion measurement apparatus;

extracting one of a vector and a scalar frequency-dependent function from the polarization mode dispersion data, by applying a frequency-domain polarization mode dispersion technique, the one of the vector and the scalar function being one of a first-order and a second-order polarization mode dispersion function;

applying a systematic correction to the one of the vector and the scalar frequencydependent function, the systematic correction minimizing a systematic error caused by bandwidth B; and wherein

Response to Final Office Action mailed December 19, 2006

Page 9 of 13

applying the systematic correction results in a derivation of one of a mean differential group delay  $\langle \tau \rangle$  and a mean square differential group delay  $|\tau|^2_{BMS}$ .

32. (Withdrawn) A method of measuring a mean differential group delay  $\langle \tau \rangle$  of a length of optical fiber comprising the steps of:

deriving a first mean  $\langle \tau \rangle$  in accordance with equation (21) and Claim 11;

deriving a second mean  $\langle \tau \rangle$  in accordance with equation (26) and Claim 16;

deriving a linear equation of the first mean  $\langle \tau \rangle$  and the second mean  $\langle \tau \rangle$  to calculate a combined mean  $\langle \tau \rangle$ , wherein a sum of coefficients of the linear equation is substantially equal to one.

33. (Withdrawn) A method of measuring a mean square differential group delay  $\tau^2_{RMS}$  of a length of optical fiber comprising the steps of:

deriving a first mean square differential group delay  $\tau^2_{\text{mass}}$  in accordance with equation (20) and Claim 21;

deriving a second mean square differential group delay  $\tau^2_{PMS}$  in accordance with equation (25) and Claim 26;

deriving a linear equation of the first mean square differential group delay  $\tau^2_{RMS}$  and the second mean square differential group delay  $\tau^2_{RMS}$  to calculate a combined mean square differential group delay  $\tau^2_{RMS}$ , wherein a sum of coefficients of the linear equation is substantially equal to one.

34. (Currently Amended) A computer-readable medium carrying at least one sequence of instructions, wherein execution of said at least one sequence of instructions by one or more

Applicants: Boroditsky, et al.

Application Serial No.: 10/747,804 Filing Date: December 29, 2003 Docket No.: 1209-49 (2003-0089)

Response to Final Office Action mailed December 19, 2006

Page 10 of 13

processors causes said at least one sequence of instructions to calculate method of calculating a true mean differential group delay  $\langle \tau \rangle$  of at least one length of optical fiber by comprising performing the steps of:

receiving a measurement of a mean square differential group delay  $\langle \tau^2 \rangle_B$  averaged over a finite bandwidth B of a source using a polarization mode dispersion measurement apparatus;

calculating an approximation of the true mean differential group delay  $\langle \tau \rangle$  in accordance with  $\sqrt{\frac{8}{3\pi}\langle \tau^2 \rangle_s}$ ; and

applying a systematic correction factor  $\epsilon$  to said approximation in accordance with  $\sqrt{\frac{8}{3\pi}\langle \tau^2\rangle_s} + \epsilon \text{ to calculate } \langle \tau\rangle, \text{ the application of } \epsilon \text{ minimizing a systematic error}$  caused by the finite bandwidth B of the source, where  $\tau$  is in units of seconds, and B in units of radians/second.

35. (Currently Amended) The <u>method</u> computer-readable medium of Claim 34, wherein the finite bandwidth B is much greater than the inverse of a root mean square differential group delay  $\sqrt{\langle \tau^2 \rangle_B}$ :

$$B >> \frac{1}{\sqrt{\left\langle \tau^2 \right\rangle_B}}$$

further wherein  $\varepsilon$  is defined by the following equation:

$$\varepsilon = \frac{8}{9\sqrt{2}} \frac{1}{B}.$$

Response to Final Office Action mailed December 19, 2006

Page 11 of 13

36. (Currently Amended) The <u>method computer-readable medium</u> of Claim 34, further comprising the step of applying one of a Jones Matrix Eigenanalysis, Poincaré Sphere Analysis, and Müller Matrix Method to calculate the true mean differential group delay  $\langle \tau \rangle$ .

- 37. (Original) The method of Claim 34, wherein the at least one length of optical fiber is an optical fiber link in an optical telecommunication network.
- 38. (Original) The method of Claim 34, wherein the at least one length of optical fiber is an optical fiber route in an optical telecommunication network.